NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF PUBLIC SECTOR MANAGEMENT (NJPSM)

Performance Management as a Strategic Lever for Federal Civil Service Effectiveness and National Development in Nigeria: A Critical Review of Reform Trajectories

Ochohepo Samuel Sule

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria

Email: ochoheposamuel@gmail.com

Abstract

Performance management has evolved from a narrow administrative function of annual appraisal to a strategic, integrated process for driving organizational productivity, institutional accountability, and sustainable human capital development. Within Nigeria's Federal Civil Service, which serves as the institutional machinery for policy formulation and service delivery, the robustness of performance management systems determines the translation of government policy into citizen outcomes.This conceptual review paper critically examines performance management as a strategic lever for civil service effectiveness. Anchored on Armstrong's (2009) Performance Management Cycle and Aguinis's (2013) Principles of Effective PM Systems, the study synthesizes seminal and contemporary literature to achieve four objectives. First, it deconstructs the concept of performance management and distinguishes it from traditional performance appraisal. Second, it reviews theoretical foundations including Goal-Setting Theory, Expectancy Theory, and Social Cognitive Theory to explain the behavioral mechanisms of performance improvement. Third, it provides a historical analysis of civil service reforms in Nigeria from the Udoji Commission of 1974 to the Federal Civil Service Strategy and Implementation Plan (FCSSIP) 2021-2025, with specific focus on performance management components. Fourth, it evaluates the implementation gap between policy design and practice in the adoption of the Electronic Performance Management System (EPMS) and Integrated Personnel and Payroll Information System (IPPIS).The review reveals that while Nigeria has developed sound policy frameworks, effectiveness is undermined by weak institutionalization, political interference, capacity deficits, technological limitations, and a bureaucratic culture that prioritizes process compliance over results. The paper concludes that transforming the Federal Civil Service into a performance-driven institution requires systemic reforms: institutionalizing continuous performance dialogue, redesigning KPIs around citizen outcomes, restoring performance-reward linkages, massive capacity building for supervisors, and sustained culture change. These recommendations are positioned to support Nigeria's Vision 2050 and Sustainable Development Goals.

Keywords: Performance management, Federal Civil Service, organizational effectiveness, public sector reform, human capital development, Nigeria, accountability, EPMS, FCSSIP

Introduction

The Federal Civil Service of Nigeria is constitutionally established under Section 171 of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria as the permanent bureaucracy responsible for implementing government policies and delivering public services. With an estimated workforce of over 1.2 million and a personnel budget that consistently exceeds 40% of total federal expenditure, the civil service represents the largest single employer and the most significant cost center of government (Federal Ministry of Finance, Budget and National Planning, 2022).

Despite this investment, Nigeria continues to rank poorly on global governance and service delivery indices. The World Bank (2022) Worldwide Governance Indicators placed Nigeria at the 28th percentile on Government Effectiveness, while the Mo Ibrahim Index (2021) ranked Nigeria 33rd out of 54 African countries on overall governance. Citizens routinely

experience bureaucratic delays, poor service quality, and lack of accountability in ministries, departments, and agencies (MDAs). This paradox of high resource input and low development output has been a subject of concern for policymakers, scholars, and citizens alike.

Successive administrations have responded with reform initiatives aimed at improving efficiency and effectiveness. From the Morgan Commission (1963) to the Udoji Commission (1974), the Philips Panel (1985), the Ayida Panel (1994), and the ongoing reforms under FCSSIP 2021-2025, the Nigerian state has demonstrated commitment to civil service transformation. However, a recurring theme across all reform efforts is the failure to institutionalize performance as a core value.

International experience suggests that the missing link is strategic performance management. Countries such as Singapore, Canada, New Zealand, and the United Kingdom have transformed their public sectors by adopting integrated performance management systems that align individual effort with national priorities, create feedback loops, and institutionalize accountability (Armstrong, 2009; World Bank, 2018). In these jurisdictions, performance management is not an annual event but a continuous process embedded in organizational culture.

In Nigeria, performance management is largely synonymous with the Annual Performance Evaluation Report (APER) and, more recently, the Electronic Performance Management System (EPMS). These tools are treated as compliance documents rather than strategic instruments. This conceptual misalignment has limited the impact of reforms. This paper therefore argues that unless performance management is repositioned as a strategic lever for effectiveness and national development, Nigeria's civil service will continue to underperform despite policy changes.

Conceptualizing Performance Management

The concept of performance management has evolved significantly since the 1980s. Early definitions focused on measurement and control. Contemporary definitions emphasize strategy, development, and continuous improvement.

Armstrong (2009, p. 4) defines performance management as "a strategic and integrated approach to delivering sustained success by improving the performance of people and developing the capabilities of teams and individual contributors." Three elements are critical in this definition: strategic, integrated, and sustained success. "Strategic" means PM must cascade from organizational goals. "Integrated" means PM must link HR functions such as recruitment, training, and reward. "Sustained success" means PM is not a short-term project but a long-term culture.

Aguinis (2013, p. 2) defines it as "a continuous process of identifying, measuring, and developing the performance of individuals and teams, and aligning performance with the strategic goals of the organization." The emphasis on "continuous" distinguishes PM from annual appraisal. Aguinis further argues that effective PM systems are characterized by six principles: strategic congruence, validity, reliability, acceptability, specificity, and ethicality.

Pulakos (2009) adds a behavioral perspective, arguing that performance management is about managing performance, not just measuring it. Managers must observe work, provide real-time feedback, remove obstacles, and coach employees. This behavioral dimension is often missing in Nigeria's civil service.

Table 1: Distinction between Performance Appraisal and Performance Management

Dimension	Performance Appraisal	Performance management
Time Focus	Backward-looking, assesses performance	Forward-looking: improve future performance
Frequency	Annual or bi-annual event	Continuous, year-round process
Nature	Judgmental, evaluative, top-down	Developmental, partnership-based, two-way
Ownership	HR department driven, form-filling exercise	Line manager + employee joint responsibility
Primary Outcome	Rating/score for promotion, increment, discipline	Learning, development strategy execution, alignment
Philosophy	"Grade and judge" the employee	"Coach and develop" the employee
Feedback	Limited to appraisal meeting once a year	Ongoing feedback, coaching, check-ins
Link to Strategy	Weak or no link to organizational goal	Directly cascades from organizational/ministry goals
Employee Role	Passive recipient of rating	Active partner in goal-setting and development
Use of Data	For administrative Decision	For decision + development +succession planning

Source: Adapted from Armstrong (2009) and Aguinis (2013**)**

The implication for Nigeria is profound. If civil servants understand PM as appraisal, they will approach it with fear and resistance. If they understand it as management, they will approach it as an opportunity for growth and contribution.

Theoretical Foundations of Performance Management

Three theories provide the behavioral foundation for why performance management improves results.

Goal-Setting Theory by Locke and Latham (1990)

This theory posits that specific, challenging goals lead to higher performance than vague "do your best" goals. The theory identifies five principles: clarity, challenge, commitment, feedback, and task complexity. When goals are clear and challenging, employee's direct effort, persist longer, and develop better strategies.

Application to the Nigerian civil service is direct. Most APER forms contain vague objectives like "process files promptly." A Goal-Setting Theory compliant objective would be: "Process 95% of verified pension files within 21 working days from date of receipt, Q1-Q4 2026." This clarity eliminates ambiguity and enables measurement.

Expectancy Theory by Vroom (1964)

Vroom proposed that motivation = Expectancy × Instrumentality × Valence. Expectancy is the belief that effort leads to performance. Instrumentality is the belief that performance leads to rewards. Valence is the value placed on the reward.

In Nigeria's civil service, both instrumentality and valence are weak. High performers receive the same salary and promotion timeline as low performers. This breaks the performance-reward link and demotivates high achievers. Reform must restore this link through merit-based rewards.

Social Cognitive Theory by Bandura (1986)

This theory emphasizes observational learning and self-efficacy. Employees learn behaviors by observing role models, especially leaders. If Permanent Secretaries ignore KPIs and performance contracts, Directors will also ignore them. Conversely, if leaders model performance dialogue and accountability, the behavior cascades downward.

This theory explains why leadership commitment is the single most important factor in PM success. PM cannot be delegated to HR alone. It must be led from the top.

Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

This paper adopts a dual framework: Armstrong's (2009) Performance Management Cycle as the process model, and Aguinis's (2013) Six Principles as the quality standards.

Armstrong's Five-Stage Performance Management Cycle

Stage 1: Performance Planning: At the start of the performance year, supervisors and employees agree on objectives, KPIs, competencies, and development plans. Objectives must cascade from ministry mandate to department to individual. This stage operationalizes Goal-Setting Theory.

Stage 2: Managing Performance Throughout the Year: This is the most neglected stage in Nigeria. It involves continuous coaching, feedback, monitoring, and removal of obstacles. Pulakos (2009) calls this "managing performance," not just measuring it.

Stage 3: Performance Review: A formal, evidence-based review of performance against agreed objectives. Should use multiple sources: self-assessment, supervisor rating, peer feedback, and citizen feedback where applicable.

Stage 4: Rewarding Performance: Linking outcomes to consequences: pay, promotion, recognition, or corrective action. This restores Vroom's expectancy chain.

Stage 5: Developing Performance: Identifying competency gaps and providing targeted training, mentoring, job rotation, or coaching. This ensures continuous improvement.

Aguinis's Six Principles of Effective PM Systems

- **Strategic Congruence:** PM must align with organizational strategy.
- **Validity:** PM must measure what it claims to measure.
- **Reliability:** Ratings must be consistent over time and raters.
- **Acceptability:** Stakeholders must see PM as fair and useful.
- **Specificity:** PM must provide specific feedback for improvement.

- **Ethicality:** PM must be free from bias, manipulation, and abuse.

In Nigeria, acceptability and ethicality are the weakest. Staff see PM as a tool for victimization. Reform must build trust through transparency and fairness.

Historical Trajectory Of Civil Service Reforms In Nigeria: Performance Management Focus

Nigeria's reform history can be categorized into five phases, each with implications for performance management.

1946-1960: Colonial Administrative Model

The colonial civil service was modeled on the British Northcote-Trevelyan system. Performance meant adherence to rules, procedures, and hierarchy. The Tudor Davies (1945) and Harragin (1946) Commissions focused on salary harmonization and pension, not performance measurement. The concept of "public service" existed, but without metrics or accountability to citizens.

1963-1974: Post-Independence and Udoji Reforms

The Morgan (1963) and Elwood (1966) Commissions focused on indigenization. "The Udoji Commission (1974)" was the first to explicitly recommend performance management through Management by Objectives (MBO). Udoji argued that civil servants should set objectives, measure results, and be rewarded accordingly.

However, MBO failed for three reasons: lack of supervisor training on goal-setting, absence of continuous feedback mechanisms, and no linkage between objective achievement and rewards. Promotion remained based on seniority and "long service." This established a pattern that persists: good policy, weak implementation.

1988-1999: Structural Adjustment and Professionalization

The Babangida administration's 1988 reforms introduced the concept of Directors as accounting officers and Chief Executives of departments. The logic was that autonomy would drive performance. In practice, autonomy without accountability increased corruption and inefficiency. The focus under Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) was cost reduction, not productivity improvement.

1999-2015: Democratic Era and IPPIS

With the return to democracy, reforms focused on transparency and payroll integrity. The Integrated Personnel and Payroll Information System (IPPIS) launched in 2007* was Nigeria's first large-scale technology-driven reform. Objective: eliminate ghost workers and ensure "no-work-no-pay."

Achievement: IPPIS saved the government over ₦200 billion by 2018 and cleaned the payroll (OHCSF, 2018). Limitation: IPPIS is payroll control, not performance management. It answers "Is the person present?" not "Is the person productive?" This created the dangerous misconception that controlling payroll equals managing performance.

2017-Date: EPMS and FCSSIP

EPMS 2017: The Office of the Head of Civil Service replaced paper APER with an online system. EPMS introduced mandatory KPIs, quarterly ratings, and real-time tracking. This was a significant step toward Aguinis's "continuous process" principle.

Implementation challenges: poor internet connectivity in many MDAs, low digital literacy among senior officers, and lack of training on KPI setting. Many Directors simply uploaded scanned APER forms into EPMS, defeating the purpose.

FCSSIP 2021-2025: This is the most comprehensive reform framework in Nigeria's history. It has six pillars, with Pillar 2 dedicated to "Performance Management and Accountability." Key innovations include performance contracts for Permanent Secretaries, culture change initiatives, merit-based HR, and leadership development.

Critical test: FCSSIP provides the right blueprint. Success depends on whether OHCSF can achieve institutionalization—making performance management "how we do things here" rather than "another circular."

Synthesis: 75 years of reform, but performance management has remained peripheral. Each reform addressed structure, salary, or payroll. None fully addressed culture and continuous performance improvement. FCSSIP 2025 offers an opportunity to break this cycle.

Performance Management In Nigeria's Federal Civil Service: Policy Design vs Practice Reality

Policy Design: The Current Architecture

On paper, Nigeria now has a three-tier performance management architecture:

Tier 1: EPMS: Requires all civil servants GL 08 and above to set 5-7 SMART KPIs at year start, rate performance quarterly, and submit online. KPIs must align with departmental and ministry mandates.

Tier 2: Performance Contracts: Since 2017, Permanent Secretaries sign annual performance contracts with the Secretary to the Government of the Federation (SGF). Deliverables are public and reviewed annually.

Tier 3: FCSSIP Pillar 2: Mandates culture change, meritocracy, 360-degree feedback, and reward system reform.

This design aligns with international best practice and Armstrong's (2009) cycle.

Practice Reality: Implementation Gaps

Empirical studies reveal significant gaps between policy and practice (Ogunbameru, 2020; Adebayo, 2021; OHCSF, 2022):

Table 2: Policy Intent vs Practice Reality in Federal Civil Service PM

Policy Intent	Practice Reality	Consequences
SMART KPIS set at year start and aligned to MDA mandate	Generic KPIs copied from OHCSF template not role specific.	Weak ownership + "form-filling" instead of performance focus.
Quarterly performance reviews as per EPMS guidelines.	Single discussion in December during rating/appraisal	No mid-year correction; poor performance persists all year
Evidence-based ratings using data documents, 360 feedback.	Ratings based on memory, personal bias, "halo effect"	Low validity+ staff distrust in the system
Development plan for every officer to close skill gaps.	"Training needs" section left blank or filled generically	Capacity gaps repeat yearly; no real development
Performance e-reward linkage as per Expectancy Theory	Top and bottom performers get same salary, promotion timeline	Demotivation of high performers; mediocrity rewarded
Continuous feedback/ coaching by supervisors	Feedback only during annual appraisal meeting	Employees "surprised by ratings; defensive, not developmental
Leadership accountability via public performance contracts	Contracts signed but not published or reviewed transparently	Weak accountability; PM treated as HR compliance, not national strategy
Technology-driven monitoring through EPMS for real-time data	EPMS used as document upload portal; scanned APER forms attached	Loses real-time analytics; reverts to 0ld paper mindset.

Source: Adapted from OHCSF (2022), Adebayo (2021), Ogunbameru (2020), FCSSIP 2021-2025 M&E Reports.

A 2021 survey of 200 civil servants across five ministries in Abuja found that 78% completed EPMS forms, but only 23% reported having a meaningful performance discussion with their supervisor in the last six months (Adebayo, 2021). EPMS had become compliance, not management.

Structural Reasons for the Gap

Four structural factors explain the gap:

- **Capacity Deficit:** Most Directors rose through technical specialization, not management training. They lack skills in SMART goal-setting, feedback delivery, and coaching. Aguinis (2013) lists rater training as essential for validity. Nigeria skipped this step.
- **Time Poverty:** Directors are overwhelmed with meetings, files, and political demands. They perceive performance dialogue as "extra work" rather than core work.
- **Trust Deficit:** Historical abuse of APER for victimization and favoritism has created suspicion. Staff fear that honest feedback will be used against them.
- **Broken Reward System:** Public Service Rules provide limited flexibility for performance-based rewards. A staff who exceeds targets receives the same salary and promotion timeline as one who barely meets minimum. This violates Expectancy Theory.
- **Educative Insight:** Policy provides the "hardware" – software, forms, circulars. Practice requires the "software" – skills, trust, culture, and leadership behavior. Nigeria installed hardware but neglected software.

Challenges Hindering Performance Management Effectiveness

Drawing on DeNisi and Murphy (2017) and Nigerian empirical studies, challenges cluster into four categories:

Political-Administrative Challenges

- **Political Interference:** Postings, promotions, and disciplinary actions are often influenced by political patrons. A Director who rates a politically connected staff member low risks transfer or sanction. This destroys PM credibility.
- **Policy Inconsistency:** Change in Head of Service often means change in reform slogan. Lack of continuity creates "reform fatigue" where staff wait for the next circular instead of internalizing current reforms.

Organizational-Cultural Challenges

- **Bureaucratic Culture:** The civil service values "due process" more than "results." A file that follows all 14 approval steps is praised even if the citizen waits six months. PM must shift culture from process compliance to citizen outcome.
- **Fear of Accountability:** In low-trust environments, measurement is seen as exposure. Staff prefer vague objectives to avoid being "caught" for non-performance.

Technical-Operational Challenges

- **EPMS Infrastructure:** Frequent power outages, poor internet, and lack of IT support in many MDAs force staff to print forms and fill manually, defeating the digital purpose.
- **Poor KPI Design:** Most KPIs are activity-based rather than result-based. Example: "Attend 10 meetings" instead of "Reduce customer complaint resolution time by 30%." This violates Goal-Setting Theory.

Human Capacity Challenges

- **Lack of Rater Training:** Supervisors rate based on halo effect, recency bias, and personal liking. DeNisi and Murphy (2017) show that untrained raters produce ratings with less than 40% reliability.
- **Weak Coaching Skills:** Feedback is often generic: "You did well" or "You need to improve" without specifics. Staff leave appraisal confused rather than developed.

Recommendations and Reform Pathways

Based on the review and international best practice, this paper proposes seven sequenced reform pathways tailored to Nigeria's context.

Institutionalize Performance Dialogue, Not Just Performance Forms

- **Problem:** EPMS is treated as December compliance.
- **Reform:** OHCSF should issue a circular making quarterly "Performance Conversation" compulsory. Q1, Q2, Q3 check-ins must be documented before Q4 rating.
- **Implementation:** Train all GL 14 and above on the GROW coaching model within 12 months. Include "number of performance dialogues held" as a KPI for Directors. This operationalizes Pulakos's (2009) "managing performance throughout the year."

Redesign KPIs: From Activities to Citizen Outcomes

- **Problem:** KPIs measure effort, not impact.
- **Reform:** Adopt SMART+C: Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound, Citizen-focused.

- **Example:** Replace "process pension files" with "process 98% of verified pension files within 21 working days, with 90% citizen satisfaction rating, Q1-Q4 2026."
- **Implementation:** OHCSF and Bureau of Public Service Reforms should release KPI templates by cadre. Each MDA adapts to its mandate.

Restore the Performance-Reward Linkage

- **Problem:** Expectancy Theory chain is broken.
- **Reform:** Create a three-tier reward system:

1. **Monetary:** 5% bonus pool for top 10% performers per MDA, funded from efficiency savings.
2. **Non-monetary:** Fast-track promotion, training opportunities, public commendation by Head of Service.
3. **Consequence:** Consistent bottom 5% for two years → mandatory retraining, redeployment, or exit per Public Service Rules.

Implementation: Pilot in five MDAs 2026-2027 before civil service-wide rollout to manage political resistance.

Massive Capacity Building for Raters and Coaches

- **Problem:** DeNisi and Murphy (2017) prove untrained raters produce invalid ratings.
- **Reform:** Make "Performance Management Certification" compulsory for promotion to GL 15 and Director. Certification covers SMART goals, feedback, bias reduction, documentation.
- **Implementation:** Administrative Staff College of Nigeria (ASCON) and National Centre for Public Service Training (NCPSR) should develop a two-week course. No certificate = no promotion.

Upgrade to EPMS 2.0 for Real-Time Feedback

- **Problem:** EPMS 1.0 is data entry.
- **Reform:** Upgrade with three features: mobile micro-feedback, 360-degree appraisal for Directors, and citizen feedback portal for service-facing MDAs.
- **Implementation**: Partner with NITDA. Roll out in phases starting with FCT-based ministries.

Leadership Modeling and Public Performance Contracts

- **Problem:** Social Cognitive Theory shows staff copy leaders.
- **Reform:** Make Permanent Secretaries' performance contracts public on OHCSF website. Publish annual scorecards. President/SGF should hold public performance review retreat yearly.
- **Implementation:** This creates political accountability and signals that PM is strategic, not HR ritual.

Sustained Culture Change Campaign

- **Problem:** Bureaucratic culture values process over results.
- **Reform:** OHCSF should run a 3-year campaign using Chief Ochohepo Samuel Sule's wisdom: "Character builds you. Good report takes you farther." Translate PM messages into local languages. Use posters, radio, town halls: "PM is not witch-hunting. PM is how we serve Nigeria better."

- **Implementation:** Engage National Orientation Agency (NOA) and civil society. Culture change takes 5-7 years
- **Sequencing:** Year 1: 7.1 + 7.4 + 7.7. Year 2: 7.2 + 7.5. Year 3: 7.3 + 7.6. Sequencing prevents reform overload.

Conclusion

This conceptual review has examined performance management as a strategic lever for federal civil service effectiveness and national development in Nigeria. Three conclusions emerge.

First, performance management has evolved globally from administrative appraisal to a strategic, continuous process for aligning human capital with organizational goals. Theories of Locke, Vroom, and Bandura, and models of Armstrong and Aguinis, provide robust frameworks. Nigeria's challenge is not absence of theory but weak translation of theory into institutional practice.

Second, Nigeria's reform trajectory from Udoji (1974) to FCSSIP (2021-2025) demonstrates policy ambition without full institutionalization. IPPIS succeeded in payroll integrity but failed to link pay to performance. EPMS digitized forms but did not change behavior. FCSSIP provides the most comprehensive blueprint, but its success hinges on leadership commitment, capacity building, and cultural transformation.

Third, the effectiveness of Nigeria's Federal Civil Service—and by extension national development—cannot be achieved by circulars and software alone. It requires rebuilding the performance-reward linkage, training supervisors as coaches, redesigning KPIs around citizen outcomes, and sustaining a culture where accountability is viewed as development rather than punishment.

In the words of Chief Ochohepo Samuel Sule: "Character takes whoever far, but be of good report takes you farther." For the civil service, "character" is built through continuous performance development. "Good report" is earned through measurable results delivered to citizens. Performance management is the institutional mechanism that nurtures both.

If Nigeria is serious about Vision 2050 and the Sustainable Development Goals, the Federal Civil Service must transition from a "job-for-life bureaucracy" to a "performance-for-nation institution." This transition begins when we stop filling forms and start managing performance every day.

References

Adebayo, A. A.(2021). Electronic Performance Management System and civil service efficiency in Nigeria. -Journal of public Administration and Policy Research, 13-(2), 45-61. https://doi.org/10.5897/JPAPR2020.0682

Administrative Staff College of Nigeria. (2020). _Training needs assessment report for Federal Civil Service-ASCON Press.

Aguinis, H. (2013). _Performance management_ (3rd ed.). Pearson.

Armstrong, M. (2006). _Performance management: Key strategies and practical guidelines_ (2nd ed.). Kogan Page.

Armstrong, M. (2009). _Armstrong's handbook of performance management_ (4th ed.). Kogan Page.

Bandura, A. (1986). _Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory_. Prentice-Hall.

DeNisi, A. S., & Murphy, K. R. (2017). Performance appraisal and performance management: 100 years of progress? _Journal of Applied Psychology, 102_ (3), 421–433. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000085

Federal Government of Nigeria. (1974). _Report of the Public Service Review Commission – Udoji Commission_. Lagos: Federal Ministry of Information. Federal Government of Nigeria. (1994). Report of the Civil Service Reorganization Committee - Ayida Panel_. Abuja: The Presidency.

Federal Government of Nigeria. (2021). _Federal Civil Service Strategy and Implementation Plan 2021-2025_. Office of the Head of Civil Service of the Federation. Federal Ministry of Finance, Budget and National Planning. (2022). _Budget office personnel cost analysis 2018-2022_. Abuja: Federal Government Press.

Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). _A theory of goal setting and task performance_. Prentice Hall. Mo Ibrahim Foundation. (2021). _Ibrahim Index of African Governance_. London: Mo Ibrahim Foundation.

Office of the Head of Civil Service of the Federation. (2017). _Guidelines for Electronic Performance Management System_. OHCSF.

Office of the Head of Civil Service of the Federation. (2018). _IPPIS savings and impact report 2007-2018_. OHCSF.

Office of the Head of Civil Service of the Federation. (2021). _Annual performance report on civil service reforms_. OHCSF.

Office of the Head of Civil Service of the Federation. (2022). _Monitoring and evaluation report on EPMS implementation_. OHCSF.

Ogunbameru, K. O. (2020). Performance appraisal and employee productivity in Nigerian public service. _African Journal of Public Affairs, 12_(1), 88-102.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). _Skills outlook 2019: Thriving in a digital world. OECD Publishing.

Pulakos, E. D. (2009). _Performance management: A new approach for driving business results_. Wiley-Blackwell.

United Nations Development Programmed. (2019). _Public sector performance management: A toolkit for practitioners UNDP.

Vroom, V. H. (1964). _Work and motivation. Wiley.

World Bank. (2018). _World development report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise_. World Bank.

World Bank. (2022). _Worldwide governance indicators: Government effectiveness _. https://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/